



**BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL
ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

IN RE INTEL MARKET PRACTICES
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

:
:
: MDL DOCKET NO. _____
:
:

**PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL BRAUCH AND ANDREW MEIMES' MOTION TO
TRANSFER AND COORDINATE OR CONSOLIDATE FOR PRETRIAL
PROCEEDINGS IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407**

Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes ("Plaintiffs") respectfully move the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML" or "Panel") for an Order, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, that would accomplish the following.

First, Plaintiffs ask the JPML to transfer four actions currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, as well as any cases that may subsequently be filed asserting similar or related claims, to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division. The actions currently pending in the District of Delaware are as follows: (1) *Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. v. Intel Corp., et al.* No. 1:05-cv-00441 (D. Del., filed June 27, 2005); (2) *Kidwell, et al. v. Intel Corp.* No.

1:05-cv-00470 (D. Del., filed July 6, 2005); (3) *Rainwater, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-00473 (D. Del., filed July 6, 2005); and (4) *Kravitz, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. 1:05-cv-476 (D. Del., filed July 8, 2005).

Second, Plaintiffs ask the JPML to consolidate the above four proceedings with the ten actions that are currently pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. As of July 11, 2005, Plaintiffs are aware of the following actions pending in the Northern District: (1) *Brauch, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2743 (BZ) (N.D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005); (2) *Konieczka v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2700 (MHP) (N.D. Cal., filed June 30, 2005); (3) *Prohias v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2699 (JL) (N.D. Cal., filed June 30, 2005); (4) *Niehaus v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2720 (JCS) (N.D. Cal., filed July 1, 2005); (5) *Hamilton v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2721 (JCS) (N.D. Cal., filed July 1, 2005); (6) *Baxley v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2758 (EMC) (N.D. Cal., filed July 6, 2005); (7) *Lipton v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2669 (MHP) (N.D. Cal., filed June 29, 2005); (8) *Frazier, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2813 (N.D. Cal., filed July 11, 2005); (9) *Dickerson v. Intel Corp.*, No. C:05-2818 (JL) (N.D. Cal., filed July 11, 2005); and (10) *The Harman Press v. Intel Corp.*, (this case was filed late in the day therefore no number has been assigned by the court as of the date of this filing) (N.D. Cal., filed July 11, 2005). In support of their motion for transfer and consolidation, Plaintiffs state as follows.

1. The actions for which transfer and consolidation are proposed arise out of the same or similar illegal antitrust conduct and allege substantially similar claims. One of the actions was brought by Advanced Micro Devices ("AMD"), a competitor of defendant Intel Corporation ("Intel"). The remaining thirteen actions are brought on behalf of consumers who purchased Intel microprocessor computer chips ("Intel Chips"). All fourteen actions allege that Intel and its controlled subsidiaries and/or affiliates illegally maintained its monopoly power in the relevant microprocessor market and/or that Intel conspired to fix, raise, maintain and/or stabilize prices for Intel Chips sold in the United States and elsewhere. Intel manufactures, distributes, advertises and sells Intel Chips throughout the United States and the world.

2. Plaintiffs propose that the four actions pending in the District of Delaware be consolidated with the ten actions currently pending in the Northern District of California.

3. All fourteen actions arise out of a common core of factual allegations, namely, that Intel illegally maintained its monopoly power in the relevant microprocessor market and/or engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in that market by fixing the prices of and/or allocating markets for Intel Chips sold in the United States and elsewhere, thus overcharging Original Equipment Manufacturer purchasers and consumers for prices paid for Intel Chips during the relevant time period.

4. The centralization of these actions in a single judicial district for consolidated pretrial proceedings will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions, will serve the convenience of all parties and witnesses, and will promote the interest of justice because all fourteen actions involve the same or similar factual and legal issues.

5. Consolidation of the actions before a single court will conserve judicial resources, reduce litigation costs, prevent potentially inconsistent pretrial rulings, eliminate duplicative discovery, and permit the cases to proceed to trial more efficiently.

6. All fourteen actions are in the very early stages of litigation; no responsive pleadings have been filed nor has any discovery been conducted.

7. The proposed transfer and consolidation in the Northern District of California "will be for the convenience of parties and witnesses and will promote the just and efficient conduct" of these actions because it is expected that for each Plaintiff in each of the fourteen actions, counsel will take discovery of the same witnesses and documents to prove the same or similar conspiracy or conduct.

8. Furthermore: (a) the majority of the cases (ten out of the fourteen cases) were filed in the Northern District of California; (b) the Northern District of California has the resources and judicial expertise to properly conduct this case; (c) Intel's principal place of business is located in the Northern District of California; (d) AMD's principal place of business is located in the Northern District of California; (e) likely witnesses and documentary

evidence are located in the Northern District; (f) the Court is centrally located to all parties; and (g) docket conditions favor the Northern District of California over the District of Delaware.

9. Plaintiffs' motion is based on the accompanying memorandum of law, the filed pleadings and papers, and other materials that may be presented to the Panel before or at the time of any hearing in this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Panel order that the four actions pending in the District of Delaware, as well as any cases that may be subsequently filed asserting related or similar claims, be transferred to the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division for consolidated and coordinated pretrial proceedings.

Dated: July 11, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Michael P. Lehmann (Cal. Bar. No. 77152)
Thomas P. Dove (Cal. Bar. No. 51921)
Alex C. Turan (Cal. Bar. No. 227273)
THE FURTH FIRM LLP
225 Bush Street, 15th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 433-2070
Facsimile: (415) 982-2076

Francis O. Scarpulla (Cal. Bar. No. 41059)
LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS O.
SCARPULLA
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 788-7210
Facsimile: (415) 788-0707

Craig C. Corbitt (Cal. Bar. No. 83251)
ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL MASON &
GETTE, LLP
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 693-0700
Facsimile: (415) 693-0770

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and
Andrew Meimes

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Alex C. Turan, on behalf of Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes, hereby certify that true and correct copies of the following documents were served on July 11, 2005, via U.S. mail on the court, counsel and/or parties of record listed below:

- Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes' Motion to Transfer and Coordinate or Consolidate for Pretrial Proceedings in the Northern District of California Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407;
- Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes' Motion to Transfer and Coordinate or Consolidate for Pretrial Proceedings in the Northern District of California Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407;
- Exhibits to Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes' Motion to Transfer and Coordinate or Consolidate for Pretrial Proceedings in the Northern District of California Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407;
- Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes' Reasons Why Oral Argument Should Be Heard;
- Certificate of Service;
- Cover letter to Clerk, United States District Court for the Northern District of California; and
- Cover letter to Clerk, United States District Court for the District of Delaware.

COURTS

Clerk of Court
U.S. District Court
Northern District of California
450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 522-2000

Clerk of Court
U.S. District Court
District of Delaware
J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building
844 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 573-6170

DEFENDANT

Intel Corporation
c/o CT Corporation System
818 West Seventh Street
Los Angeles, CA 90017

PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL IN RELATED ACTIONS

Lee M. Gordon
Elaine T. Byszewski
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
700 South Flower Street, Suite 2940
Los Angeles, CA 90017-4101
Telephone: (213) 330-7150
Facsimile: (213) 330-7152
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Lipton, Konieczka, Prohias, Hamilton and Niehaus* Actions

Steve W. Berman
Anthony D. Shapiro
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-7292
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Lipton, Konieczka, Prohias, Hamilton and Niehaus* Actions

Jeffrey F. Keller
Kathleen R. Scanlan
Law Offices of Jeffrey F. Keller
425 Second Street, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94107
Telephone: (415) 543-1305
Facsimile: (415) 543-7861
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Lipton, Konieczka, Prohias, Hamilton, Niehaus and Baxley* Actions

Jeffrey S. Goldenberg
John C. Murdock
Murdock Goldenberg Schneider & Groh, L.P.A.
700 Walnut Street, Suite 400
Cincinnati, OH 45202-2011
Telephone: (513) 345-8291
Facsimile: (513) 345-8294
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Konieczka and Niehaus* Actions

Lance A. Harke
Howard M. Bushman
Harke & Clasby LLP
155 South Miami Avenue, Suite 600
Miami, FL 33130
Telephone: (305) 536-8222
Facsimile: (305) 536-8229
Counsel for Plaintiff in *Prohias* Action

Francis O. Scarpulla
Law Offices of Francis O. Scarpulla
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 788-7210
Facsimile: (415) 788-0707
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Brauch* Action

Craig C. Corbitt
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason & Gette, LLP
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 693-0700
Facsimile: (415) 693-0770
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Brauch* Action

Mark Reinhardt
Garrett D. Blanchfield, Jr.
Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield
332 Minnesota Street, Suite E-1250
St. Paul, MN 55101
Telephone: (651) 287-2100
Facsimile: (651) 287-2103
Counsel for Plaintiff in *Baxley* Action

Eric J. Belfi
Murray Frank & Sailer, LLP
275 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
Telephone: (212) 682-1818
Facsimile: (212) 682-1892
Counsel for Plaintiff in *Baxley* Action

Michele C. Jackson
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP
Embarcadero Center West
275 Battery Street, 30th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 956-1000
Facsimile: (415) 956-1008
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Frazier* Action

David S. Stellings
Jennifer Gross
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP
780 Third Avenue, 48th Floor
New York, NY 10017-2024
Telephone: (212) 355-9500
Facsimile: (212) 355-9592
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Frazier* Action

Spencer Hosie
Bruce J. Wecker
Hosie McArthur LLP
One Market
Spear Street Tower, #2200
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 247-6000
Facsimile: (415) 247-6001
Counsel for Plaintiff in *Dickerson* Action

Guido Saveri
R. Alexander Saveri
Geoffrey C. Rushing
Cadio Zirpoli
Saveri & Saveri, Inc.
111 Pine Street, Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 217-6810
Facsimile: (415) 217-6813
Counsel for Plaintiff in *Harman Press* Action

Randy R. Renick
Law Offices of Randy R. Renick
128 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 204
Pasadena, CA 91103
Telephone: (626) 585-9608
Facsimile: (626) 585-9610
Counsel for Plaintiff in *Harman Press* Action

Jesse A. Finkelstein
Frederick L. Cottrell, III
Chad M. Shandler
Steven J. Fineman
Richards, Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
P.O. Box 551
Wilmington, DE 19899
Telephone: (302) 651-7500
Facsimile: (302) 651-7701
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Advanced Micro Devices* Action

Charles P. Diamond
Linda J. Smith
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 246-6800
Facsimile: (310) 246-6779
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Advanced Micro Devices* Action

Mark A. Samuels
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
Telephone: (213) 430-6340
Facsimile: (213) 430-6407
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Advanced Micro Devices* Action

Pamela S. Tikellis
Robert J. Kriner, Jr.
A. Zachary Naylor
Robert R. Davis
Chimicles & Tikellis LLP
One Rodney Square
P.O. Box 1035
Wilmington, DE 19999
Telephone: (302) 656-2500
Facsimile: (302) 656-9053
Counsel for Plaintiffs Kidwell, Reeder, Maita, JWRE, Moeller and Harms in *Kidwell* Action, and Plaintiffs Rainwater, Chapman and Yaco in *Rainwater* Action

Francis M. Gregorek
Betsy C. Manifold
Francis A. Bottini, Jr.
Rachele R. Rickert
Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP
750 B Street, Suite 2770
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 239-4599
Facsimile: (619) 234-4599
Counsel for Plaintiffs Kidwell, Reeder, Maita, JWRE, Moeller and Harms in *Kidwell* Action, and Plaintiffs Rainwater, Chapman and Yaco in *Rainwater* Action

Fred Taylor Isquith
Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP
270 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
Telephone: (212) 545-4600
Facsimile: (212) 545-4653
Counsel for Plaintiffs Kidwell, Reeder, Maita, JWRE, Moeller and Harms in *Kidwell* Action, and Plaintiffs Rainwater, Chapman and Yaco in *Rainwater* Action

Mary Jane Edelstein Fait
Adam J. Levitt
Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP
55 West Monroe Street, Suite 1111
Chicago, IL 60603
Telephone: (312) 984-0000
Facsimile: (312) 984-0001
Counsel for Plaintiffs Kidwell, Reeder, Maita, JWRE, Moeller and Harms in *Kidwell* Action, and Plaintiffs Rainwater, Chapman and Yaco in *Rainwater* Action

Ann Lugbill
2406 Auburn Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45219
Telephone: (513) 784-1280
Facsimile: (513) 784-1449
Counsel for Plaintiff Reeder in *Kidwell* Action

Brandon N. Voelker
28 West 5th Street
Covington, KY 41011
Telephone: (859) 491-5551
Counsel for Plaintiff Reeder in *Kidwell* Action

Gene Summerlin
Ogborn, Summerlin & Ogborn, P.C.
210 Windsor Place
330 South Tenth Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Telephone: (402) 434-8040
Facsimile: (402) 434-8044
Counsel for Plaintiffs JWRE, Moeller and Harms in *Kidwell* Action

Robert J. Sharkey
Vandervoort, Christ & Fisher, PC
Fifth Third Bank Building, Suite 312
67 West Michigan Avenue
Battle Creek, MI 49017
Telephone: (269) 965-7000
Facsimile: (269) 965-0646
Counsel for Plaintiff Rainwater in *Rainwater* Action

Richard A. Lockridge
Robert K. Shelquist
Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55401
Telephone: (612) 339-6900
Facsimile: (612) 339-0981
Counsel for Plaintiff Chapman in *Rainwater* Action

Noah Golden-Krasner
Law Offices of Noah Golden-Krasner
354 West Main Street
Madison, WI 53703
Telephone: (608) 441-8924
Facsimile: (608) 442-9494
Counsel for Plaintiff Yaco in *Rainwater* Action

Joel Friedlander
James G. McMillan, III
Bouchard Margules & Friedlander, P.A.
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1400
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 573-3500
Facsimile: (302) 573-3501
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Kravitz* Action

Daniel Hume
David Kovel
Kirby McInerney & Squire LLP
830 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 371-6600
Facsimile: (212) 751-2540
Counsel for Plaintiffs in *Kravitz* Action

Dated: July 11, 2005

THE FURTH FIRM LLP

By: 

Alex C. Turan (Cal. Bar. No. 227273)

THE FURTH FIRM LLP
225 Bush Street, 15th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 433-2070
Facsimile: (415) 982-2076

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and
Andrew Meimes